

CITY OF OREM
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
56 North State Street Orem, Utah
June 28, 2022

3:30 P.M. WORK SESSION – CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

CONDUCTING

Mayor David A. Young

ELECTED OFFICIALS David Spencer,
LaNae Millett, Terry Peterson, Jeff Lambson, Tom
Macdonald and Debby Lauret.

APPOINTED STAFF Jamie Davidson, City
Manager; Brenn Bybee, Assistant City Manager; Steven
Downs, Deputy City Manager;

Joshua Adams, Police Chief; Ryan Clark, Development
Services Director; Charlene Crozier, Library Director;
Steve Earl, Deputy City Attorney; Chris Tschirki, Public
Works Director; Brandon Nelson, Finance Director; Bryce
Merrill, Recreation Director; Keri Rugg, HR Director;
Mark Sanderson, Fire Chief; and JoD’Ann Bates, City
Recorder

**NOTE: The referenced report and presentation documents for each discussion may be
viewed at orem.org/meetings under “City Council Presentations”**

DISCUSSION – Economic Development Strategic Plan

Mr. Prescott stated the city's current Economic Development Strategic Plan was created in 2014 and has guided a lot of what has been done over the last few years. The city has contracted with consultants from Thomas P. Miller and Associates to create a new Economic Development Strategic Plan that will guide the city over the next five to seven years. Mr. Prescott introduced the consultants Thomas P. Miller, Brett Wiler and Aaron Finlay.

Mr. Wiler stated their goal is to give the council an overview of their process and methodology and touch briefly on some of the key themes or key findings and strategic recommendations for the city.

Aaron Finley reviewed the Scope of Service which includes the following:

- Project launch
 - Visioning Session
 - One-on-One Interviews
- Discovery
 - Document Review
 - Site Visits
 - Quantitative Data Analysis
- SWOT Analysis
 - Priority Stakeholder Engagement Session
- Stakeholder Focus Group
 - Review

§ SWOT

§ First Draft

- Focus Areas
 - Goals
 - Strategies
 - Tactics
-
- Final Plan and Presentation

Mr. Finley stated they started out with a quantitative data collection analysis from a variety of sources. They received information from some local sources, they talked to the Utah State Tax Commission, and they also used information from the U.S. Census Bureau, the American Community Survey and a variety of third-party sources. They reviewed over two dozen documents including city budgets, master plans, a variety of studies and agreements many of which were specific to Orem. As mentioned earlier they also did a lot of stakeholder engagement and they had a half dozen focus group site visits

Mr. Wiler stated in those site visits mentioned were members of local business community regional and local stakeholders and several elected and appointed officials as well. They also had multiple sessions seeking feedback on the strategies they are going to review with the council. They have interacted with over 75 individuals connected to Orem.

Mr. Finlay presented their High Level Findings which included information regarding population, occupations and residential development.

Mr. Wilder indicated they feel they have concluded a comprehensive discovery phase and revolved their study around the following 4 frameworks: 1) Business Retention and Expansion 2) Business Attraction 3) Entrepreneurship and Innovation 4) Quality of Life. He continued to discuss in depth the areas of focus for each item.

Mr. Macdonald inquired who does the work and how does the city get funding for what they have presented. Mr. Wilder indicated they have tried to indicate a champion for each area of focus, but in general the majority would be the city's Economic Development department in conjunction with local, county and state programs. Mr. Prescott indicated there are grants available for organizations, the revolving loan funds and county and state programs along with investors, it's just a matter of getting people in touch with the right opportunity.

Mr. Davidson stated one of the other resources is the Federal Economic Development Administration (EDA), they've been very helpful in the past. The City of Orem applied and received federal money for a program called the "Business Resource Center". Although under the umbrella of UVU, the city worked with EDA in cooperation with UVU and then got the Business Resource Center up and running. They continue to have conversations on how we make it better or make it different to meet the needs of today. The City has also created their own Business Alliance. This is a homegrown organization that Mrs. Lewis and Mr. Prescott created in order to connect with local businesses and to provide local businesses with a venue or opportunity to connect on a monthly basis.

Mr. Prescott stated he feels through the Orem Business Alliance they have built a strong channel delivering important information to local businesses. He continued to state for example during covid they started sending out information about grant programs and the usage rate of businesses in Orem using those grants was a lot higher than other cities of similar size.

Mrs. Lauret inquired if there were specific recommendations as to types of businesses better for Orem or are they more general. Mr. Finley responded that lodging did not appear negative in the leakage report but there are no specifics regarding that industry. They worked more on general approach to retention, attraction, expansion and things of that nature.

Mrs. Millett inquired if they found strong relationships with Orem and UVU and the graduating students. Mr. Prescott responded they believe there are a lot of great minds that are graduating UVU and it is always a thought of how they keep them local and how they get them to start their business in Orem. That is something they continue to brainstorm about regarding how they make that connection.

Mayor Young stated he feels there seems to be a disconnect between companies and college internship programs. As far as the plan he questioned with the presentation and its framework, and the two people in our Development Department, how do we get prepared? He feels it has to be broken down in terms of priorities and focus on what they have to work with. Mr. Wiler responded in their discovery they spent time really going line item by line item with the team and recognize they were very successful at implementing the 2014 Economic Development Strategic Plan so they have confidence that will occur again. He indicated they have provided an implementation matrix in the plan that will allow some direction in making this plan successful. They believe Orem is doing the right thing and just needs some more ideas.

Mr. Peterson indicated the city has lost some large business and asked if they took into consideration the change in the current master plan and if any council members were involved. Mr. Prescott indicated they were all invited and updated with various meetings. Mr. Spencer and Mrs. Millett attending the stakeholder's workshop. Many of the Planning Commissioners also attended some of the meetings.

Mrs. Millett indicated what has been presented is huge and they have got to figure out how to implement this now because they have this new zoning and they want to help these entrepreneurs. The city wants them to know they may have old buildings on State Street and they would love to work with them on how to renovate and help revitalize the area and bring in more jobs. She indicated she has seen the luncheons and they are doing a great job but she is really hoping this plan will give the city additional ideas for the employees to implement. She feels the study can serve as a great foundation for the discussions they need to have as to what they want and how they can implement those changes.

General discussion ensued regarding various aspects of the study and how they can implement those results with the industry, growth, education, development and build upon those strengths that are already in place and look for those emerging industries in the City of Orem.

Mr. Davidson stated he feels the study serves as a great tool to refine the path they want to take with the new State Street Master Plan changes.

Mayor Young commented he likes the plan and would like to see it focused with State Street and identify the inventory and proactively going out and bringing in new business to Orem.

DISCUSSION – Fraud Risk Assessment

Mr. Nelson stated this is a requirement of the State Auditor's office that is required to be brought before the council each year. He reviewed each area and identified where in either policies, procedures or ordinances that the city has something already in place and is followed in relation to each one of those items and any areas that the city needs to work on. He indicated there were three areas they can address that can result in a lower rating which is their ultimate goal. He continued to discuss those items which are as follows:

1) *Have all governing body members' complete entity specific online training at training.auditor.utah.gov within four years of term appointment/election day.* Mr. Nelson indicated they will provide the council with the link and the council will need to take that training and provide the certificate of completion to the City.

2) *All employees and elected officials required to annually commit in writing to abide by a statement of ethical behavior?* Mr. Nelson indicated he felt this was something they could definitely do and over the next fiscal year be looking at ways to have that in place.

3) *Does the entity have a formal internal audit function?* Mr. Nelson stated this requires the city to have a certain employee whose only responsibility is to in essence continually audit the functions of the city and they report directly to city management and or to in our case would be either the audit committee or the whole council. He stated he does not feel this is a necessary element and will find that in most cities this is not done. The City usually hires an independent audit firm to come in and do one of these internal audits on a regular basis.

General discussion ensued regarding the need for some of the regulations and if some of them are really necessary and would make that big of a difference. Clarification as to other companies

and the city that does training on a regular basis and if nothing else it makes you think about things a little more. Further discussion continued regarding the definition of a formal audit function versus the audit functions of the city finance department.

Mr. Nelson stated this assessment started with small Special Service Districts and smaller towns and cities because they didn't have any idea what made up a good internal control structure. The State Auditor's office as they worked with those entities created this and it grew from there. He feels it is a good idea for any board or elected body to at least have an understanding and have the people who are in charge of finance express to them "yes we are meeting those requirements" and send the information to the State Auditor's Office. This is just to make them aware of where the city is and their intent in the future to address some of these issues.

DISCUSSION – Utah State Retirement Contributions Amendment

Mrs. Rugg stated there are 2 tiers in the Utah State Retirement System. Most new employees are tier 2; those hired before July 1, 2011 are in tier 1. With USR making changes to enhance the tier 2 specifically for Public Safety and Fire, it required employees to pick up a portion of the contribution which was 2.27%. At the time the city had built that difference into the budget in order for the city to cover those costs instead of the employee. In 2022 the increase to the employee piece went up to 2.59%. What the city is proposing is that they also pick up that additional percent and the retirement systems require this be done by Resolution. This addition has already been placed in the upcoming budget so this is just formalizing that addition.

DISCUSSION – Public Outreach Consideration for the School District Study

Mayor Young indicated he had asked this item be of discussion regarding how the council would like to proceed with public outreach regarding the school district study. He indicated the study will be released and presented to the City Council on July 12th, and there will be no draft prior to

that presentation. He stated the consultants will make a formal presentation during the July 12th City Council meeting.

Mr. Davidson stated he feels the interest in the plan will be more than what the Council Chambers can accommodate and feels that it may be appropriate to hold the meeting in the Library Hall.

Mayor Young indicated there has been some discussions regarding the need for further outreach after the initial presentation. It had been discussed to hold meetings over the next 3 weeks following the July 12th City Council meeting in order for the consultants to present the plan and answer any question residents have that are not answered at the City Council meeting. Due to notification deadlines on getting information to the County for the ballot and other notification the City Council will meet on August 2nd in order to vote to put the item on the ballot or not.

General discussion continued regarding the various notifications that are required by law in order to move this item forward on the ballot and resident notification. Further discussion continued regarding the time frame of the meetings, an outline of the public meetings, possible ways to receive, review and submit questions and even possible space for the consultants to address the public with it being more of an open house setting. Having the meetings recorded for later easy access. Possibly setting up some feedback portals either at the meetings or online. Making all comments available to the public and how the meeting would proceed with a moderator.

There was a general consensus to hold 3 outreach public meetings on July 13th, 19th and 28th located at the Library City Hall from 7-9 pm.

DISCUSSION – Development Review Committee Process Revisions

Mr. Bench stated this is to update the council on the process the Development Services has made to improve and ensure applications are complete prior to acceptance. He then reviewed the changes made to the following applications and committees:

- DRC (Design Review Committee) Application
- Technical Design Review Committee Meeting, now Technical Coordination Meeting
- Policy Design Review Committee meeting now Monday Coordination Meeting
- Design Review Committee Meeting – applications are not deemed complete until it is DRC approved. These are legally noticed as a public meeting.

Mrs. Millett inquired if this is the vesting point. Mr. Earl responded that basically an application is complete when they have submitted all of the elements that are required by ordinance for an application and feels this is something that may need to be discussed further.

Mr. Clark responded indicating that there may be an item on the checklist that staff deems is not necessary due to the type of application the formal motion at the Develop Design Review Committee meeting is when the city basically says we don't need that item at this time, and it would be deemed complete at that point.

Mr. Peterson stated that due to the current situations with pending litigation he feels the council should table this discussion until a later date.

Mr. Clark apologized, it was his understanding that the issue was taken care of and they were moving forward as directed. Mr. Earl responded that he understood where they were going with the discussion and felt they were discussing mostly technical details that are handled internally.

Mr. Davidson inquired if an applicant came to the Design Review Committee but they may still have outstanding red lines and they may have questions tied to let's say a traffic stop, do all red lines need to be resolved and all issues including issues associated with traffic strength need to be resolved before it can come to Design Review Committee for final approval.

Mr. Bench responded that 99% would but there might be a minor exception. The Technical and Policy Design Review Committee meetings are where they work out all the issues so that when it does go to DRC it is complete, if not they would need to come back the following week and revisit with DRC.

Mr. Davidson suggested at this time to follow the suggestion of Mr. Peterson and continue the discussion offline.

DISCUSSION – Parking Proximity Ordinance

Mr. Earl stated he was approached by Council members Millett and Lauret regarding a new ordinance requiring a parking or residential developments which are developed with attached residential unit's not single-family homes. The idea was to consider a policy that requires parking stalls within a certain distance of the unit that they serve as well as requiring an assignment of parking stalls for the exclusive use of individual residential units. He felt the concern was trying to enhance safety for residents of dwellings, with the idea that the less distance they had to walk particularly late at night the safer they're likely to feel and making it a little bit easier for those people with mobility issues. They have come up with a draft language to be included in the city's general parking ordinance that created two additional proposed subsections. The first one requires an assignment of essentially two parking stalls for each residential unit. This would be for their exclusive use and would have some official designation. The second part is the proximity aspect of it requiring that parking be located within 175 feet of the unit that it serves. This is merely to get the discussion moving and see if this is something the council would like to address or not.

Mr. Clark responded that he has some concerns due to a lot of developments that will center a green space around the buildings or a clubhouse. He feels that one of the consequences is this may force developers to surround the buildings with parking lots on all four sides so then you're going to have your green spaces away from the buildings. He feels you would not be creating an enjoyable development. They are going to be isolated or they are going to have to cross parking lots to get to the play areas. Another potential unintended consequence is it takes away a little bit of flexibility from an apartment manager. An apartment manager that's rented out to one person you only have one vehicle and if you're requiring two stalls to be assigned to that unit you're effectively wasting a stall that nobody else can use. An apartment manager could have

flexibility to assign the use of these stalls out on a needed basis depending on the tenants. He concluded he feels it would work with townhomes but stacked apartments make it more difficult. There are definitely some pros to it yet there are also some cons.

Mr. Millett stated it was their intent to create security and safety and equal treatment for apartment dwellers, the same as single family dwellings.

Mr. Macdonald asked if any other communities have something like this. Mr. Earl indicated they have not looked into other cities regulations regarding this issue.

General discussion ensued regarding possible unintended circumstances, promoting better planning from developers, nicer looking landscapes and what possibly can and cannot work.

Mr. Spencer suggested the city look into the issue a little further to see if there are unintended circumstances and keep safety in mind.

AGENDA REVIEW & PREVIEW OF UPCOMING AGENDA ITEMS

The City Council reviewed the evening's agenda and discussion ensued regarding the scheduled items that would be considered.

CITY COUNCIL REPORTS (BOARDS & COMMISSIONS, NEW BUSINESS, ETC.)

Mrs. Lauret inquired regarding the status of the utility boxes and the artist's coverings. Mrs. Crozier responded that Mr. Prescott has published a website where art submissions from local artists can be collected. The Arts council will then review them and work with a local contractor

to apply them to the boxes. The City does not own the art piece the artist can still sell and reproduce their art. She concluded by stating the city can do about 30 boxes.

Mr. Davidson reported the following to the City Council:

- The City has a new electronic timekeeping system for all city employees. They are greatly appreciative of IT staff for the time they took to make this possible.
- Congressman Curtis has included a three million dollar appropriation into the Environmental Protection Agency budget for water reuse. The city is really appreciative of him due to the fact that in the last month the city had an 18 million dollar project and only about 9 million to do the project. Within the last month and thanks to the efforts of many we are very excited about having 10 million dollars for that 18 million dollar project. It still has to go through a reconciliation process but they are on the right track.
- The drilling has begun and he will keep the council updated as to what they find.
- There is an issue regarding residents that have an interest in a street not being a through street but instead doing two cul-de-sacs. It is the preference of the city to have the street go through due to utility services, public safety access and based on the city code. The cul-de-sac would take more square footage and funds on the resident's part in order to do so. He will keep the council updated on the progress.

6:00 P.M. REGULAR SESSION - COUNCIL CHAMBERS

CONDUCTING

Mayor David A. Young

ELECTED OFFICIALS

David Spencer, Terry Peterson, LaNae Millett, Jeff Lambson, Debby Lauret, and Tom Macdonald

APPOINTED STAFF

Jamie Davidson, City Manager; Brenn Bybee, Assistant City Manager; Steven Downs, Deputy City Manager;

Joshua Adams, Police Chief; Ryan Clark, Development Services Director; Charlene Crozier, Library Director; Steve Earl, Deputy City Attorney; Chris Tschirki, Public Works Director; Brandon Nelson, Finance Director; Bryce Merrill, Recreation Director; Keri Rugg, HR Director; Mark Sanderson, Fire Chief; and JoD'Ann Bates, City Recorder

CALL TO ORDER

INVOCATION / INSPIRATIONAL THOUGHT – Jim Lauret

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Blaine Blad

MAYOR'S REPORT/ITEMS REFERRED BY COUNCIL

The Mayor had no reports at this time.

PERSONAL APPEARANCES

John Barrick, Orem resident, stated he was grateful for the City Council taking up the Orem feasibility study with the Alpine School District (ASD). He stated he would like to talk about property taxes within the ASD that Orem residents pay. He shared some slides which included an example from page 169 of the current year ASD budget that indicated property values constant at \$370 thousand dollars. They actually show that over a five-year period that taxes went down \$77 dollars. The second slide shows the actual impact on an Orem taxpayer. What he did was take Zillow and took the average Orem home over that period \$291k, in 2018, \$322k in 2019, \$336k in 2020, \$337k in 2021 and \$494k at the beginning of 2022 property values have gone up. He did the same math that the ASD did in their example and this shows a property tax change in the same time period which is a 24.7% tax increase. He feels it's a little bit of sleight of hand due to the consistent tax increases. This is the reason the Utah State Legislature passed a law called Truth and Taxation. The proposed bond will increase another \$105. He continued to review his information that represents Orem residents who have paid \$570 million dollars in property taxes since 2009. Indicating that not all of those property taxes would have gone to build schools because 55% of those property taxes go to the general fund, but it would allow them to pay teachers more and decrease class sizes in the Orem School District. ASD in their budget on page 185 shows that they are raising class sizes in fourth, fifth and sixth grades to 31 and it says next to that actual class sizes may be higher because they count all teachers not just teachers who are teaching in their calculations. He concluded by thanking the council for the courage to take the feasibility study and he is looking forward to seeing it.

Ben Wheeler, Orem resident, stated that based on Mr. Barrick information you can see that Eagle Mountain is the only city that has a windfall. He feels Lehi and Orem are paying more in taxes than they are receiving back in services. He commented that an online group is being very active in regards to talking about teacher benefits, teacher pay and special education that will not be better in an Orem School District and he challenged them to think that the people in this building will do a much better job than what's happening today in the ASD. He continued to state he is very proud of what the City Council is doing by standing up for what he believes, which is control of our schools and our destiny. He continued to thank the City Council and the Orem Library for taking the opportunity to move the pride exhibit out of the children's section and put it into the adult section.

Kade Kidd, Orem resident, asked his friends and neighbors to stand so the council may see who he represents. He continued to state he stands with his friends and neighbors because they found out this week that a home in their neighborhood had been purchased by a company called Heritage Behavioral Health Incorporated. They were all surprised to find out that this is a business that has purchased other homes and uses them as transitional homes. They were not aware that this was something that could happen. They are concerned with the unknown of who the tenants are that would be allowed to reside in the home. They are parents and grandparents of children in this neighborhood, many of which live in very close proximity to the home in question and are concerned for the safety of their neighborhood. They recognize that facilities are necessary to treat those that are in need and support that effort but struggle to see why it should be done in a residential area. They are aware that federal law permits the use of this home as a group home however, they would like to understand the permit process. He stated their hope is to raise their concerns and let the council know that they would like to work with the City Council in an effort to find out more information on the intended use of this home and the impacts on its community. He concluded to state that most of, but not all of the council included in their election campaigns the need to preserve Orem neighborhoods. He stated it was their hope that the council would do all they can to help preserve this neighborhood in this current troubling situation.

Jill Barrick, Orem resident, stated she lives in the Northridge Elementary school boundaries and would like to speak out about the educational concerns at Northridge Elementary. She indicated that Northridge is exposing its students to unbelievable noises namely that of a jack hammer. During school hours the aroma was strong and the noise was loud throughout the entire school and into the parking lot outside. There were no perimeter barriers to prevent injury with children wrapping coats around their heads to muffle the noise. The water was turned off all day in

January and February with the encouragement to students to “hold your potty”. ASD refused to send a team of nurses to check the children's hearing afterwards. Northridge was once ranked 46 in the state in 2011. By 2017 they took a sharp nose dive to 335th. Northridge only has a part-time PE, and lacks in music, art, stem, dance, drama and other creative needs. This fall Northridge will host class sizes in the mid 30’s. She concluded that ASD owns this mess and a local school district would mean accountability which ASD is refusing to give parents and students. She thanked the council for commissioning a feasibility study that gives parents hope that they can be heard and their money can stay local.

Cissy Rasmussen, Orem resident ,stated her kids have been going to public schools for 17 years and they will be in them for the next 10 years. She indicated she is very invested in the future of the schools and is experienced in the past realities of Orem schools. She extended an invitation to the City Council and to Orem residents to a Facebook group that she and her friends started. They have been trying to understand the feasibility study information and trying to spread awareness about this issue. This Facebook page is a body of parents and other interested members of the Orem community committed to a thoughtful and honest appraisal of Orem's only school district. They believe that Orem schools student’s teachers and residents are best served by staying with ASD. She understands that some disagree with that assessment but she has been in those meetings and even seen a vote taken and the overwhelming majority of the people in PTA and School Community Council meetings do not support an Orem school district. She feels teachers and parents have serious concerns. She stated these are their constituents too and hope they will take a moment and read their comments. She continued stating that there are other sources of income which make up the vast majority of the budget for Orem schools. They have based that on data that is publicly available through ASD. She concluded stating she wanted to appear and invite all to be a part of the conversation and ask the council to listen to all their constituents.

Heidi Clark Orem resident indicated that one of the things she always valued about the community is that the police department has always seemed invested in keeping the community safe and informed. They use social media to keep the community informed of things that are of particular interest and they take measures like crosswalk stings to keep us safe. This is why she was surprised and saddened by the radio silence after an armed police officer hit a pedestrian who was in the crosswalk, crossing with the signal at 11:29am on the morning of June 16th. The officer was making a left turn onto Center Street from Garden Park. This is the intersection at the corner of Wendy’s and the post office in front of Target. She stated the intersection had its protected left turns removed a few years ago. The officer was the lead car of the traffic light followed by a white Tesla followed by herself. She indicated she could clearly see the older

woman waiting to cross. As she waited she wondered if she would have to wait for an extra cycle. The light turned green and the woman got the signal that it was safe to cross. After all of the pedestrian stings covering exactly this issue, the officer just went. The woman was in the second lane when she was hit. It has been crickets from the Orem Police Department. She stated she would like the city's police force to be more transparent than this. She would also like the city to reconsider the protected left turns at that intersection.

Shauna Mecham, Orem resident, stated that about six months ago the council created the position of Director of Legislative Council for a 6 month period. She believes that term is up soon and by nature of the position this attorney isn't in direct contact with staff nor citizens and she believes this has led to some poor advice in three specific instances. 1) *The State Street Master Plan*. Good advice would have been people have concerns, let's peg down exactly what they're worried about and see if we can work together to alter what you chose to do. Instead it was to remove the plan entirely which has led to some consternation in your partner agencies, with staff and with anyone who was involved in the five years and five hundred thousand dollars it took to create that plan. 2) *Planning Commission*. She indicated this is a little personal but apparently the council was worried the Planning Commission might somehow abort the State Street Master Plan change. Good advice would have been we don't see any evidence that the Planning Commission's going to do that and if they do something wrong, request their removal. The council does not have to take their advice anyway. What you chose to do instead was remove power from the City Manager and switch out those who had any support for the State Street Master Plan with those who thought the way you did which has eroded trust between your past present and potential citizen volunteers that they won't be similarly dismissed if they disagree with you. 3) *Alpine School District*. Good advice, yes the district does look big. Let's get together with ASD, let's have some public meetings to get everyone answering questions together. Perhaps we can even do a joint study and get a target date so our citizens are working for the date to split from ASD. Instead the council chose to go it alone which has led to some awkward grammar requests that she feels could have been avoided if entities were working more closely together. She concluded that she feels the Director of Legislative Council has moved the council down roads that have created unnecessary discord. It's not too late to seek better advice and it's not too late to rebuild some of that trust.

CONSENT ITEMS

- RESOLUTION – Authorize the City of Orem’s Participation in the Utah Valley HOME Consortium
- MOTION – Mayor Pro Tem - July through December 2022

The Mayor and Council will appoint Council Member LaNae Millett as Mayor Pro Tem to serve from July through December 2022

- RESOLUTION - Utah State Retirement Contribution Amendment

Mr. Macdonald moved to approve the Consent Items as listed. **Mr. Lambson seconded** the motion. Those voting aye: David A. Young, David Spencer, Debby Lauret, Tom Macdonald, Jeff Lambson, Terry Peterson and LaNae Millett. The motion **passed**.

SCHEDULED ITEMS

ORDINANCE - Amending Chapter 23 of the Orem City Code for Storm Water Utility

Mr. Price indicated Chapter 23 of the Code of Ordinances, which addresses the Storm Water Utility has been updated to clarify language, address deficiencies and to come into compliance with new regulations. Basic changes include clarification of some definitions, fixing basic grammatical errors, adding and updating hyperlinks, removing references to gender as it applies to titles, changing employee titles, reformatting some areas to consolidate space, and delete some sections that were unnecessarily repetitive. More extensive changes include adding a new \$1,000 bond to ensure property owners continue to comply with storm water regulations after construction is completed and before landscaping is installed. Requirements were also added to the Long Term Storm Water Management Plan section to require the developer to complete a questionnaire during the planning process to assist in the review of plans, and to provide a map as part of final approval showing locations of site improvements designed to control and treat storm water. Finally, a retrofitting plan will require redeveloped properties larger than one acre as well as established properties that adversely impact storm water quality, to come into compliance with measures to manage and protect storm water.

Mrs. Lauret moved to approve the Ordinance Amending Chapter 23 of the Orem City Code for Storm Water Utility. **Mrs. Millett seconded** the motion. Those voting aye: David A. Young, David Spencer, Debby Lauret, Tom Macdonald, Jeff Lambson, Terry Peterson and LaNae Millett. The motion **passed**.

ORDINANCE / PUBLIC HEARING – Amending Fiscal Year 2021-2022 Budget

Mr. Nelson stated the Fiscal Year 2021-2022 City of Orem budget has many adjustments that occur throughout the fiscal year. These adjustments include grants received from federal, state, and other governmental or private entities or organizations; appropriating reserves in the General Fund and transferring them to the Capital Projects Fund in order to meet state legal compliance requirements regarding maximum General Fund balance as well as providing various project funding; and Senior Citizen Fund partially funding a new bus due to price increases.

Mayor Young opened the public hearing at 6:44 pm.

Hearing no comments, the Mayor closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Council for further discussion.

Mrs. Millett moved to approve the Ordinance Amending Fiscal Year 2021-2022 Budget as proposed. **Mr. Macdonald seconded** the motion. Those voting aye: David A. Young, David Spencer, Debby Lauret, Tom Macdonald, Jeff Lambson, Terry Peterson and LaNae Millett. The motion **passed**.

CITY MANAGER INFORMATION ITEMS

There were no City Manager items at this time.

COMMUNICATION ITEMS

There were no communication items at this time.

ADJOURNMENT INTO A CLOSED MEETING

Mayor Young moved to a closed meeting to be held in Conference Room #107, Orem City Hall, 56 North State Street, Orem Utah to discuss "the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual", pursuant to Utah Code Section 52-4-205(1)(a). **Mrs. Lauret seconded** the motion. Those voting aye: David A. Young, David Spencer, Jeff Lambson, Terry Peterson, Debby Lauret, Tom Macdonald, and LaNae Millett. The motion **passed**.

The meeting adjourned at 6:46 pm.